

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

Division: Agency for Persons with Disabilities
Board:
Rule Number: 65G-4.016
Rule Description: Application process for eligibility for Agency Services
Contact Person: Brett Taylor, Deputy General Counsel

Please remember to analyze the impact of the rule, NOT the statute, when completing this form.

A. Is the rule likely to, **directly or indirectly**, have an adverse impact on economic growth, private-sector job creation or employment, or private-sector investment in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule?

- | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| 1. Is the rule likely to reduce personal income? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. Is the rule likely to reduce total non-farm employment? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 3. Is the rule likely to reduce private housing starts? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 4. Is the rule likely to reduce visitors to Florida? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 5. Is the rule likely to reduce wages or salaries? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |
| 6. Is the rule likely to reduce property income? | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

Explanation: *The amended Rule does not increase regulatory costs. The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure compliance with Chapter 2024-14, Laws of Florida, which amended section 393.065, Florida Statutes. Specifically, the proposed amendments to the Rule modify the application for eligibility, incorporated by reference therein, to make the application clearer and earlier to complete. Furthermore, the Rule is being updated to follow the timeframe provided for in the amended statute describing the deadlines by which the Agency must make an eligibility determination.*

If any of these questions are answered "Yes," presume that there is a likely and adverse impact in excess of \$1 million, and the rule must be submitted to the legislature for ratification.

B. Is the rule likely to, **directly or indirectly**, have an adverse impact on business competitiveness, including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets, productivity, or

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

innovation in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule?

1. Is the rule likely to raise the price of goods or services provided by Florida business?

Yes No

2. Is the rule likely to add regulation that is not present in other states or markets?

Yes No

3. Is the rule likely to reduce the quantity of goods or services Florida businesses are able to produce, i.e. will goods or services become too expensive to produce?

Yes No

4. Is the rule likely to cause Florida businesses to reduce workforces?

Yes No

5. Is the rule likely to increase regulatory costs to the extent that Florida businesses will be unable to invest in product development or other innovation?

Yes No

6. Is the rule likely to make illegal any product or service that is currently legal?

Yes No

Explanation: *The amended Rule does not increase regulatory costs. The purpose of this rulemaking is to ensure compliance with Chapter 2024-14, Laws of Florida, which amended section 393.065, Florida Statutes. Specifically, the proposed amendments to the Rule modify the application for eligibility, incorporated by reference therein, to make the application clearer and earlier to complete. Furthermore, the Rule is being updated to follow the timeframe provided for in the amended statute describing the deadlines by which the Agency must make an eligibility determination.*

If any of these questions are answered “Yes,” presume that there is a likely and adverse impact in excess of \$1 million, and the rule must be submitted to the legislature for ratification.

C. Is the rule likely, **directly or indirectly**, to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs (see F below for examples of transactional costs), in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of this rule?

1. Current one-time costs \$0.00

2. New one-time costs \$0.00

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

3. Subtract 1 from 2	\$0.00
4. Current recurring costs	\$0.00
5. New recurring costs	\$0.00
6. Subtract 4 from 5	\$0.00
7. Number of times costs will recur in 5 years	\$0.00
8. Multiply 6 times 7	\$0.00
9. Add 3 to 8	\$0.00

If 9. is greater than \$1 million, there is likely an increase of regulatory costs in excess of \$1 million, and the rule must be submitted to the legislature for ratification.

D. Good faith estimates (numbers/types):

1. The number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule. *(Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used for the number of individuals and methodology used for deriving the estimate).*
2. A general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

E. Good faith estimates (costs):

1. Cost to the department of implementing the proposed rule:
 - None. The department intends to implement the proposed rule within its current workload, with existing staff.
 - Minimal. *(Provide a brief explanation).*
 - Other. *(Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate).*
2. Cost to any other state and local government entities of implementing the proposed rule:

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

None. This proposed rule will only affect the department.

Minimal. *(Provide a brief explanation).*

Other. *(Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate).*

3. Cost to the department of enforcing the proposed rule:

None. The department intends to enforce the proposed rule within its current workload with existing staff.

Minimal. *(Provide a brief explanation).*

Other. *(Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate).*

4. Cost to any other state and local government of enforcing the proposed rule:

None. This proposed rule will only affect the department.

Minimal. *(Provide a brief explanation).*

Other. *(Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate).*

F. Good faith estimates (transactional costs) likely to be incurred by individuals and entities, including local government entities, required to comply with the requirements of the proposed rule. *(Includes filing fees, cost of obtaining a license, cost of equipment required to be installed or used, cost of implementing processes and procedures, cost of modifying existing processes and procedures, additional operating costs incurred, cost of monitoring, and cost of reporting, or any other costs necessary to comply with the rule).*

None. This proposed rule will only affect the department.

Minimal. *(Provide a brief explanation).*

Other. *(Please provide a reasonable explanation for the estimate used and methodology used for deriving the estimate).*

G. An analysis of the impact on small business as defined by s. 288.703, F.S., and an analysis of the impact on small counties and small cities as defined by s. 120.52, F.S. *(Includes:*

- *Why the regulation is needed [e.g., How will the regulation make the regulatory process more efficient? Required to meet changes in federal law? Required to meet changes in state law?];*

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

- *The type of small businesses that would be subject to the rule;*
- *The probable impact on affected small businesses [e.g., increased reporting requirements; increased staffing; increased legal or accounting fees?];*
- *The likely per-firm regulatory cost increase, if any).*

A small business is defined in Section 288.703, F.S., as "...an independently owned and operated business concern that employs 200 or fewer permanent full-time employees and that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth of not more than \$5 million or any firm based in this state which has a Small Business Administration 8(a) certification. As applicable to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments."

A small county is defined in Section 120.52(19), F.S., as "any county that has an unincarcerated population of 75,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census." And, a small city is defined in Section 120.52(18), F.S., as "any municipality that has an unincarcerated population of 10,000 or less according to the most recent decennial census."

The estimated number of small businesses that would be subject to the rule:

The are no small businesses that are subject to this rule.

- | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1-99 | <input type="checkbox"/> 100-499 | <input type="checkbox"/> 500-999 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1,000-4,999 | <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5,000 | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown, please explain: | | |

Analysis of the impact on small business:

There is no small county or small city that will be impacted by this proposed rule.

A small county or small city will be impacted. Analysis:

Lower impact alternatives were not implemented? Describe the alternatives and the basis for not implementing them.

H. Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful.

None.

Additional.

I. A description of any good faith written proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative to the proposed rule which substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being implemented and either a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

No good faith written proposals for a lower cost regulatory alternative to the proposed rule were received.

See attachment "A".

Adopted in entirety.

Adopted / rejected in part. *(Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part).*

Rejected in entirety. *(Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative).*

See attachment "B".

Adopted in entirety.

Adopted / rejected in part. *(Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part).*

Rejected in entirety. *(Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative).*

See attachment "C".

Adopted in entirety.

Adopted / rejected in part. *(Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part).*

Rejected in entirety. *(Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative).*

See attachment "D".

Adopted in entirety.

Adopted / rejected in part. *(Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part).*

Rejected in entirety. *(Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative).*

See attachment "E".

Adopted in entirety.

{AGENCY NAME}
Statement Of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC)

Adopted / rejected in part. *(Provide a description of the parts adopted or rejected, and provide a brief statement of the reasons adopting or rejecting this alternative in part).*

Rejected in entirety. *(Provide a brief statement of the reasons rejecting this alternative).*

#